Cooperative dynamics in a network of stochastic elements with delayed feedback

D. Huber and L. S. Tsimring

Institute for Nonlinear Science, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0402, USA

(Received 26 August 2004; published 30 March 2005)

Networks of globally coupled, noise-activated, bistable elements with connection time delays are considered. The dynamics of these systems is studied numerically using a Langevin description and analytically using (1) a Gaussian approximation as well as (2) a dichotomous model. The system demonstrates ordering phase transitions and multistability. That is, for a strong enough feedback it exhibits nontrivial stationary states and oscillatory states whose frequencies depend only on the mean of the time delay distribution function. Other observed dynamical phenomena include coherence resonance and, in the case of nonuniform coupling strengths, amplitude death and chaos. Furthermore, an increase of the stability of the trivial equilibrium with increasing nonuniformity of the time delays is observed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to its relevance for a variety of scientific disciplines such as physics, chemistry, biology, economics, and social sciences, the study of collective phenomena in extended stochastic systems with long-range interaction has been of great interest in recent years and various techniques based on Langevin, Fokker-Planck, and master equations have been conceived to explore their dynamics.

An effective and simple model for the study of noise-induced collective phenomena is the globally coupled network of stochastically driven bistable elements. Indeed, the cooperative dynamics of these systems has been the subject of many studies and its relevance for critical phenomena [1], spin systems [2], neural networks [3–5], genetic regulatory networks [6], and decision making processes in social systems [7] has been pointed out.

For the sake of simplicity it has traditionally been assumed that the interactions in these networks are instantaneous. However, in recent years it has been realized that time delays due to finite transmission and processing speeds are (1) significant compared to the dynamical time scales of the system and (2) often change fundamentally its dynamical properties [8–11].

Thus, in this paper the generic model of globally coupled bistable elements is extended by time delayed couplings and its collective dynamics is studied numerically and analytically.

The properties of globally coupled dynamical systems, relevant for system such as arrays of lasers [12] and Josephson junctions [13], have been explored in many studies (see also [2,14–18]). Desai and Zwanzig (DZ) [18], for instance, studied the synchronization of noise-activated bistable oscillators with instantaneous coupling and derived from the Fokker-Planck equation for the joint probability distribution of the oscillators an exact mean field-model (DZ model) in the thermodynamic limit \( N \rightarrow \infty \), where \( N \) is the number of network elements. Beyond a critical coupling strength this system displays a second-order phase transition to an ordered nontrivial stationary state. The effect of uniform interaction delays in a globally coupled network of phase oscillators has been explored by Yeung and Strogatz [14], and Tsimring and Pikovsky [19] studied the dynamics of a single noise-activated bistable element with delayed feedback. Combining the properties of these two systems, Huber and Tsimring [20] studied the properties of a globally coupled network of noisy bistable elements with uniform delays and derived a dichotomous mean-field model based on the delay-differential master equation. Although for numerous systems the assumption of uniform time delays is justified (e.g., [21,22]), most systems have time delays distributed over an interval rather than concentrated at a point (see [23]). Thus, after a discussion of the dynamical properties of the network with uniform delays, the generalized case of distributed time delays is studied.

This paper which is an extended version of [20] is organized as follows: In the next section the bistable-element network is discussed for the case of uniform time delays. Two mean-field models—namely, the DZ model (which for Gaussian processes reduces to the Gaussian approximation) and the dichotomous model—are compared with the Langevin dynamics and their scopes of application are determined. The phenomenon of coherence resonance is discussed, and a complete bifurcation analysis of the trivial equilibrium is carried out using a center manifold reduction. In Sec. III the system dynamics is discussed for a discrete bimodal delay distribution. Then, in Sec. IV the model is further generalized, so that the mean-field dynamics of a system with an arbitrary time delay distribution can be described. Finally, in Sec. V we introduce nonuniform coupling strengths which lead to new dynamical properties, such as amplitude death and chaos.

II. UNIFORM DELAYS

A. Langevin model

The prototypical system considered here is modeled by a set of \( N \) Langevin equations, each describing the overdamped stochastically driven motion of a particle in a bistable potential \( V = -x^2/2 + x^4/4 \), whose symmetry is distorted by the time-delayed coupling to all network elements,
Cooperative interactions of bistable elements with identical dynamics in the presence of a mean-field feedback lead to bistable states, which are decoupled from each other. They jump from one potential well to the other randomly and independently of the time delay, the system undergoes a second-order transition associated with hysteretic behavior. The system exhibits a first-order (discontinuous) transition associated with hysteretic behavior. The noise intensity $D_H$ depends on the time delay and is $D_H=0.07$ for $\tau=100$.

For large time delays $\tau<\tau_k$ ($\tau_k$ is the inverse Kramers escape rate from one well into the other [24,25]), depending on the initial state the system adopts one of many accessible oscillatory states featuring different periods. Even for a positive feedback, besides the stationary solution several oscillatory states with periods $T<\tau$ are observed for $D_H=0.07$. The system only has oscillatory nontrivial solutions. The observed periods are $T\approx 2\tau$ for $\tau<\tau_k$.

The basic dynamical states accessible by the system are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2, where the evolution of a single network element and the mean field are shown for different coupling strengths.

**B. Gaussian approximation**

A mean-field description for the dynamics of a globally coupled set of thermally activated bistable elements with instantaneous interactions was proposed by Desai and Zwanzig [18]. For the sake of simplicity, we refer to this mean-field description as the DZ model. This model consists of a hierarchy of equations for the cumulants of the distribution function derived from the Fokker-Planck equation for the joint probability density function of all elements. Expressed in terms of moments $M_n$, $\{n=1,\ldots,\infty\}$ the hierarchy assumes the simple form

$$
\dot{M}_n = X(t-\tau)[4DM_{n-2} + eM_{n-1} + M_n - M_{n+2}],
$$

where $M_{-1}=0$ and $M_0=1$.

For large noise intensities, when the statistics of the individual elements are approximately Gaussian the hierarchy
Applying this approach to our delayed-feedback system, the evolution of the mean field $X$ is, in the Gaussian approximation, described by the set of equations

$$
\dot{X}(t) = \frac{1}{2}V(t) = V(t) - 3X^2(t)V(t) - 3V^2(t) + D,
$$

where $V=M^2-M^2=N^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_i-X)^2$ is the variance.

To compare the theoretical predictions of the Gaussian approximation (3) with the Langevin model (1) we determine the maximum of the main peak in the power spectrum $P_{\text{peak}}$ (see Fig. 2). The evolution of the peak power as a function of the coupling strength can be used to study the Hopf bifurcation which describes the transition to the oscillatory mean field regime. The pitchfork bifurcation describing the transition to the stationary mean-field state is characterized by the dependence of the temporal mean of the mean field $\langle X \rangle$, on the coupling strength. Figure 3 shows the peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$ and the temporal mean $\langle X \rangle$ as a function of the coupling strength $\varepsilon$ for three different noise temperatures $D$.

The phase diagrams of these models are shown in Fig. 4 in the $(D, \varepsilon)$-parameter plane. Figure 3 shows that away from the transition points the Gaussian approximation correctly describes the Langevin dynamics. However, near the bifurcation points the system dynamics is strongly non-Gaussian even for strong noise. Indeed, while the Gaussian approximation predicts that both bifurcations are first-order transitions associated with hysteretic behavior over the entire noise range considered in this study (see Fig. 4), the Langevin model produces first order transitions only for $D < 0.7$.

The inclusion of higher-order cumulant equations leads only to a slow convergence toward the true solution of the Langevin model. This is illustrated in Fig. 5. Thus, near the transition points the DZ model does not significantly simplify the Langevin description and the critical parameters for the transition cannot be determined analytically.

C. Dichotomous model

In order to describe the dynamics of the system near the bifurcation points we apply a dichotomous (i.e., two-state) approximation, which is complementary to the Gaussian approximation and which is valid in the limit of small noise, when the characteristic Kramers transition time is $\tau_K \gg 1$, and small coupling strengths. The dichotomous theory neglects intrawell fluctuation of $x_i$. Thus, in the limit of small coupling, each bistable element can only take the values $s_{1,2} = \pm 1$. The collective dynamics of the entire network can then be described by the master equations for the occupation probabilities of these states $n_{1,2}$. This approach has been suc-
respectively. In a globally coupled system, the transition rate is identical for all elements and the master equations for the nance successfully used in studies of stochastic and coherence resonance in a globally coupled delay-free network of bistable dynamics. Jung et al. [2], found nontrivial stationary mean-field solutions in a globally coupled delay-free network of bistable elements.

The dynamics of a single element is determined by the hopping rates $p_{12}$ and $p_{21}$, i.e., by the probabilities to hop over the potential barrier from $s_1$ to $s_2$ and from $s_2$ to $s_1$, respectively. In a globally coupled system, $n_{1,2}$ and $p_{12,21}$ are identical for all elements and the master equations for the occupation probabilities read

$$n_1 = -p_{12}n_1 + p_{21}n_2, \quad (4)$$

$$n_2 = p_{12}n_1 - p_{21}n_2. \quad (5)$$

The hopping probabilities $p_{12,21}$ are given by Kramers’ transition rate [25] for the instantaneous potential well,

$$p_{12,21}^{10} = \frac{\sqrt{U''(x_m)U''(x_0)}}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta U}{D}\right), \quad (6)$$

where $x_m$ and $x_0$ are the positions of the potential minima and the top of the potential barrier, respectively. For our system, in the limit of small noise $D$ and coupling strength $\epsilon$, they read (cf. [19])

$$p_{12,21} = \frac{\sqrt{2 + 3\alpha_1}}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1 + 4\alpha_1}{4D}\right). \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha_1 = \epsilon X(t-\tau)$.

As discussed above, the Langevin system either adopts a stationary or an oscillatory mean-field state in the limit $t \to \infty$. Let us first consider the stationary case $n_{1,2}=0$. Making use of the probability conservation $n_1 + n_2 = 1$, the occupational probabilities $n_{1,2}$ are given by

$$n_{1,2} = \frac{p_{21,12}}{p_{12} + p_{21}}. \quad (8)$$

Then, in the dichotomous approximation with $s_{1,2}=\pm 1$, the mean field $X = s_1n_1 + s_2n_2$ reads

$$X = n_2 - n_1 = \frac{p_{12} - p_{21}}{p_{12} + p_{21}}. \quad (9)$$

Substituting the hopping probabilities (7) into this equation yields the transcendental equation for the mean-field magnitude:

$$X = \frac{\sqrt{2 - 3\epsilon X \exp(\epsilon XD) - \sqrt{2 + 3\epsilon X \exp(-\epsilon XD)}}}{\sqrt{2 - 3\epsilon X \exp(\epsilon XD) + \sqrt{2 + 3\epsilon X \exp(-\epsilon XD)}}}. \quad (10)$$

This equation always has a trivial solution $X=0$, but for $\epsilon > \epsilon_{st}$ it also has a pair of nontrivial solutions $X=\pm A$. It is easy to find $A(\epsilon)$ for a fixed $D$ numerically using Eq. (10). The critical value $\epsilon_{st}$ as a function of $D$ for the pitchfork bifurcation, indicating the transition to a nontrivial stationary state, can be found analytically by expanding the right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (10) at small $X$. This yields the expression

$$\epsilon_{st} = \frac{4D}{4 - 3D}. \quad (11)$$

Let us now turn to the general case when $X$ is allowed to be a function of time. Again, making use of the probability conservation and the expression for the dichotomous mean field $X = n_2 - n_1$ we find the equation

$$\dot{X}(t) = p_{12} - p_{21} - (p_{21} + p_{12})X(t), \quad (12)$$

where the hopping probabilities $p_{12,21}$ have the same functional form as in Eq. (7), but now depend on the delayed mean field $X(t-\tau)$ rather than $X(t)$.

To investigate the stability properties of the system, Eq. (12) is linearized about zero:

FIG. 4. Phase diagram for $\tau=100$ of the Langevin model (crosses), the Gaussian approximation (dashed lines), and the dichotomous theory (solid lines and dotted lines). The solid line and the dotted line, respectively, depict the primary solution and the higher-order solutions of Eqs. (15) and (16). Phases separated by double lines indicate hysteretic behavior. For $X=0$ and $D < 0.3$ the Kramers time is $\tau_K > 10$.

FIG. 5. The critical coupling of the Hopf bifurcation ($\epsilon_{st1} < 0$) and the pitchfork bifurcation ($\epsilon_{st2} > 0$), respectively. Compared are the critical couplings resulting from the Langevin model (crosses) and the predictions of the DZ theory (diamonds) including different numbers of cumulants. For an even number of cumulants the DZ theory predicts hysteretic behavior which is not seen in the Langevin dynamics.
The characteristic equation for the complex eigenvalue $\lambda$ is found by making the ansatz $X \approx \exp(\lambda t)$. It reads

$$\lambda = \sqrt{2} \pi \frac{e^{-(4 - 3D)/4D}}{\exp(-1/4D) \tau \tan \omega \tau,}$$

\begin{align}
\omega \tau &= -\sqrt{2} \pi \exp(-1/4D) \tau \tan \omega \tau, \\
\varepsilon_{\text{osc}} &= \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{at}}}{\cos \omega \tau}. \end{align}

This set of equations has a multiplicity of solutions, indicating that multistability occurs in the globally coupled system beyond a certain coupling strength. For finite time delays and positive coupling, besides the stationary solution, several oscillatory states with periods $T_k$ close to but slightly larger than $\tau/k$ exist for $\varepsilon > e_{\text{osc}}$, where the transition points are ordered as follows: $0 < \varepsilon_{\text{osc}} < e_{\text{osc}}^1 < e_{\text{osc}}^2 < \cdots$. If the feedback is negative, the system has oscillatory solutions with periods $T_k$ close to but slightly larger than $2\tau/(2l + 1)$ for $\varepsilon < e_{\text{osc}}^l$, where $0 > e_{\text{osc}}^0 > e_{\text{osc}}^1 > e_{\text{osc}}^2 > \cdots$. In the limit of large time delays $\tau \to \infty$, the transition points $e_{\text{osc}}^k \to e_{\text{at}}$ and $e_{\text{osc}}^k \to e_{\text{osc}}^0 = -4D/(4 - 3D)$ with the corresponding periods being $T_k \to \tau/k$ and $T_k \to 2\tau/(2l + 1)$, respectively.

In order to compare the predictions of the dichotomous model with the Langevin dynamics, the peak power $P_{\text{peak}}$ and the temporal mean $\langle X \rangle$, resulting from the dichotomous theory, are also plotted in Fig. 3. The phase diagram for the dichotomous theory is shown in Fig. 4.

Figures 3 and 4 show that the dichotomous theory agrees with the Langevin dynamics quite well for small noise in the range $D = 0.07 - 0.3$ in the neighborhood of the bifurcation points. The theory also correctly describes the bifurcation type. Indeed, the dichotomous theory predicts accurately the noise strength $D_{\text{th}} = 0.07$ for $\tau = 100$ at which the Hopf bifurcation changes from supercritical (second order) to subcritical (first order). However, for very small $D$ the Kramers time becomes very large, and the accuracy of numerics becomes insufficient for a comparison with the theory.

D. Complete bifurcation analysis

A complete bifurcation analysis of the trivial solution $X = 0$ of Eq. (13) in the $(D, \varepsilon, \tau)$-parameter space can be accomplished by carrying out a center manifold reduction (see, e.g., [29,30]); that is, the normal form coefficients of the bifurcations in our dichotomous mean field-model can be expressed in terms of the system parameters.

For a general class of delay differential equations of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = x(t) + \gamma_1 x(t - \tau) + \gamma_2 x(t)^3 + \gamma_3 x(t)^2 x(t - \tau)$$

$$+ \gamma_4 x(t)x(t - \tau)^2 + \gamma_5 x(t - \tau)^3,$$

such a reduction to normal forms of the pitchfork and Hopf bifurcations has been carried out in Refs. [31,32]. If we cast the equation for the mean-field dynamics of our model in this form, we can use the results in Refs. [31,32] to determine the functional dependence of the normal form coefficients on the parameters $D$, $\varepsilon$, and $\tau$. This can be achieved by a series expansion of Eq. (12) up to the third order and a rescaling of time.

The normal form of the pitchfork bifurcation reads

$$\dot{z} = a z + b z^3,$$

where $z$ is a coordinate on the center manifold. The normal form coefficients are

$$a = \frac{e - e_{\text{at}}}{e_{\text{at}}(1 - \tau_0)},$$

$$b = \frac{B_1 - 12DB_2}{384(1 - \tau_0)D^3},$$

where $B_1 = e^3(81D^3 + 108D^2 + 144D - 64)$, $B_2 = e^3(9D^2 + 24D - 16)$, and $\tau_0 = -\tau \exp(-1/4D) / \tau \pi$. Setting $a = 0$ and solving Eq. (19) for $e$ we again find the critical coupling of Eq. (11). One can show that $b < 0$ for $D > 0$ and $e = e_{\text{at}}$ (i.e., $a = 0$). Consequently, the pitchfork bifurcation at $e_{\text{at}}$ is always supercritical. The stability diagram resulting from center manifold reduction for the pitchfork bifurcation is shown in Fig. 6.

The normal form of the Hopf bifurcation in polar coordinates $r$ and $\theta$ on the center manifold reads

$$\dot{r} = \mu r + \alpha r^3, \quad \dot{\theta} = \omega + \rho \theta^2.$$

The coefficients determining the stability of the trivial equilibrium and the order of the Hopf bifurcation are $\mu$ and $\alpha$ [33]. Expressed in system parameters, they read
and coincides with Eq. (22) in the \((\varepsilon, D)\)-parameter space. Upper panel: the boundaries \(\mu=0\) (dashed line) and \(\alpha=0\) (solid line) for a system with \(\tau=100\). The black dashed line and the gray dashed line depict the parameter values of the subcritical and supercritical Hopf bifurcation, respectively. The lower panel shows the same curves for a system with \(\tau=10\) (dashed line), \(\tau=100\) (black solid line), and \(\tau=1000\) (gray solid line).

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &= \left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \right) \left( \frac{1 - \tau_0}{(1 - \tau_0)^2 + \phi^2} - \frac{\sin \phi}{2} \right), \\
\alpha &= \frac{B_1 B_3 - B_2 (1 - 3 \tau_0 + 2 \cos^2 \phi)}{128 [(1 - \tau_0)^2 + \phi^2] D^2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(B_1 = (\cos^2 \phi - \tau_0)/(4D \cos \phi)\), \(\phi = \omega_0 \tau_0\), and \(\omega_0 = \tan \phi\).

Setting \(\mu=0\) and solving Eq. (22) for \(\varepsilon\) yields the critical coupling as a function of the noise strength \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}(D)\) which coincides with Eq. (16). Setting the first Lyapunov coefficient \(\alpha=0\), we can find \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}(D)\). The two functions \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}(D)\) and \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}(D)\) intersect at a noise level \(D_H\) denoting the parameter values for which the Hopf bifurcation changes from supercritical to subcritical. The stability diagram resulting from the analysis of the Hopf bifurcation is shown in Fig. 7.

Let us now discuss the bifurcation properties in the limit of large and small time delays as well as vanishing noise and compare them with those of a single-oscillator system. The critical coupling \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}\) of the pitchfork bifurcation is time delay independent and goes to zero for vanishing noise. However, the critical coupling of the Hopf bifurcation depends on the time delay (see lower panel in Fig. 7). As the time delay increases, the maximum of the primary Hopf bifurcation line \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}^1\) approaches the origin in the \((\varepsilon, D)\) plane, meaning that oscillations may occur at an arbitrary small feedback strength for the properly tuned noise level. This should be contrasted to the dynamics of a single noise-free oscillator with time-delayed feedback that only exhibits oscillations at strong negative feedback (\(\varepsilon < -1\)). For very small time delays \(\tau \to 0\), the critical coupling strength \(\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}^0 \to \mp \infty\).

### E. Coherence resonance and system size effects

The system studied in this paper exhibits the phenomenon of coherence resonance (e.g., [34–37]) and array-enhanced resonance [26,38].

Let us discuss this in turn. If our system adopts an oscillatory state, the double-well potentials of the elements are tilted asymmetrically, due to their coupling to the delayed mean field; that is, the potential barriers separating the two wells are periodically rising the lowering. If the period of this oscillation \(T\) matches the time scale \(\tau_K\) of the noise-induced interwell fluctuation—i.e., if the mean-field oscillations synchronize with the hopping rate—we can expect that the number of elements contributing to the oscillation and consequently the order of the oscillatory state reach a maximum. In this spirit the time scale matching condition for such a synchronization, which is given through

\[
2 \tau_K = T,
\]

is a reasonable condition for the maximum order of the oscillatory state [28].

To quantify the order (i.e., coherence) of the oscillatory state we introduce the coherence parameter \(\beta = H \omega_{\text{peak}} / \Delta \omega\), where \(H\) is the height of the main spectral peak at \(\omega_{\text{peak}}\) and \(\Delta \omega\) is its halfwidth. Using the Langevin model (1), the coherence measure \(\beta\) is determined as a function of the noise strength and in Fig. 8 compared for systems of different sizes \(N\).

Clearly, the coherence curves have a maximum. The noise strength maximizing the coherence is \(D_0 \approx 0.08\). This noise strength can also be derived from the time scale matching condition in Eq. (24). The Kramers time \(\tau_K = 1/p\) is given through Eq. (7) and the period of the oscillations \(T\) beyond the critical coupling can be determined numerically. In Fig. 9 the two time scales are plotted as a function of the noise strength. The curves intersect at \(D = 0.08\), substantiating the consistency of the theory and Langevin model.

The resonance curves in Fig. 8 show that the coherence of the oscillatory states increases with increasing \(N\), a property which was reported for other systems and is sometimes referred to as array-enhanced resonance [38]. Interestingly, the enhancement of the temporal regularity with increasing system size is only observed for macroscopic mean-field oscil-
lations, while the inverse holds for “subcritical coherence.” That is, the coherence observed in the power spectra of subcritical mean-field fluctuations i.e., for \( u \approx o_{\text{osc}} \), \( u \approx ud \) decays inversely proportional to the number of elements in the network and becomes negligible for \( N \geq 10 \). This is shown in Fig. 10. Qualitatively, the same dependence on system size is found if the delayed average does not include the delayed element itself; i.e., the element \( x_i \) is coupled to \( X_i \) as follows:

\[
\dot{x}_i = x_i - x_i^3 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} X_1(t - \tau_1) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} X_2(t - \tau_2) + \sqrt{2D} \xi(t),
\]

where

\[
X_1(t) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N/2} x_j(t)
\]

and

\[
X_2(t) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=N/2+1}^{N} x_j(t)
\]

are the mean fields of the elements associated with time delays \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), respectively. Here, it is assumed that the number of oscillators is the same in both group.

### III. TWO DELAYS

#### A. Langevin model

We want to generalize the above system by introducing multiple time delays and nonuniform coupling terms. Let us carry out the generalization progressively and study first the dynamics of a bistable element network with a discrete bi-modal delay distribution i.e., with two time delays and uniform coupling. Assuming that the time delay of the interaction between two elements is entirely determined by the “transmitting” element, the system dynamics is described by the set of Langevin equations

\[
\dot{x}_i = x_i - x_i^3 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} X_1(t - \tau_1) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} X_2(t - \tau_2) + \sqrt{2D} \xi(t),
\]

where

\[
X_1(t) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N/2} x_j(t)
\]

and

\[
X_2(t) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=N/2+1}^{N} x_j(t)
\]

are the mean fields of the elements associated with time delays \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), respectively. Here, it is assumed that the number of oscillators is the same in both group.

#### B. Dichotomous theory

We want to use the dichotomous theory in order to study the mean-field dynamics of model (25). Thus, the theory developed in Sec. II C has to be extended accordingly. In order to describe the collective dynamics of the two-delay system, two equations are needed, respectively describing the mean-field evolution of the oscillator group associated with \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \):

\[
\dot{X}_{1,2}(t) = p_{12} - p_{21} - s_{p_{12}} + p_{21} X_{1,2}(t).
\]

The mean field of the entire system then is \( X = (X_1 + X_2)/2 \), and the hopping probabilities are given by

\[
p_{12,21} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \pm 3\alpha_2}{2\pi} \exp\left( -\frac{1 \pm 4\alpha_2}{4D} \right),
\]

where \( \alpha_2 = \epsilon [X_1(t - \tau_1) + X_2(t - \tau_2)]/2 \). As for the model with a single (i.e., uniform) time delay, the numerical integration of the Langevin system (25) reveals pitchfork and Hopf bifur-

---

**FIG. 9.** The Kramers time \( \tau_K \) and the half the period of the mean-field oscillations (in units of the time delay) as a function of the noise strength. The parameters are \( \tau = 100 \) and \( \epsilon = -0.2 \).

**FIG. 10.** The coherence \( \beta \) as function of the coupling strength. For \( \epsilon < 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) the spectral peak frequency is \( f_{\text{peak}} = \omega_{\text{peak}} / 2\pi \) = 0.5 \( 1/\tau \) and \( f_{\text{peak}} = 1.0 \ 1/\tau \), respectively. The dash-dotted vertical line depicts \( \omega_{\text{osc}} \) and consequently separates domain of the macroscopic (i.e., supercritical) mean-field oscillations from the domain of subcritical coherence. The right panel shows the same as the left, but has a logarithmic scale for \( \beta \), which helps to uncover the weak subcritical coherence properties.
cations describing the transitions to nontrivial stationary states and oscillatory states, respectively. The critical couplings for the bifurcations can be found with a linear stability analysis of Eq. (28) near the trivial state \( \lambda = 0 \). The procedure, which is analogous to the stability analysis carried out in Sec. II C, yields the transcendent equation for the complex eigenvalue \( \lambda \):

\[
\lambda = \frac{S \pm \sqrt{S^2 - 4\Delta}}{2}.
\] (30)

Here, \( S \) and \( \Delta \), respectively, are the trace and determinant of the Jacobian matrix

\[
J = c \begin{pmatrix}
g_1 + d & g_2 \\
g_1 & g_2 + d
\end{pmatrix},
\] (31)

where the matrix elements are given through \( c = -\sqrt{2} \times \exp(-1/4D) / 8\pi D \), \( g_{1,2} = e^{(3D - 4)\exp(-\lambda \tau_{1,2})} \), and \( d = 8D \). For a positive coupling Eq. (30) has always a real eigenvalue. At a certain critical coupling

\[
e_c = \frac{4D}{4 - 3\Delta},
\] (32)

the eigenvalue becomes positive, indicating pitchfork bifurcation. This bifurcation is time delay independent and is thus identical to those found for the system with uniform time delays [cf. Eq. (11)].

For finite \( \tau = (\tau_1 + \tau_2)/2 \) and \( \epsilon \), Eq. (30) possesses also a finite number of complex solutions. The critical couplings of the corresponding unstable modes (i.e., of the Hopf bifurcation) are given by the set of equations

\[
\omega \tau = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \exp(-1/4D) \tau \tan \omega \tau,
\] (33)

\[
\epsilon_{osc} = \frac{8D \pi \omega}{(3D - 4)(\sqrt{2} \exp(-1/4D) J_s - \pi \omega J_c)}.
\] (34)

Here

\[
J_s = \frac{1}{2} (\sin \omega \tau_1 + \sin \omega \tau_2) = \sin \omega \tau \cos \omega \sigma,
\] (35)

\[
J_c = \frac{1}{2} (\cos \omega \tau_1 + \cos \omega \tau_2) = \cos \omega \tau \cos \omega \sigma,
\] (36)

where \( \sigma = |\tau_1 - \tau_2|/2 \). The above set of equations for the critical coupling is the two-delay analog to Eqs. (15) and (16). Again, we find a multiplicity of solutions, leading to the multistability of the system in a certain area of the parameter space. Furthermore, Eqs. (33) and (34) show that while the frequencies of the oscillatory states only depend on the mean time delay \( \tau \), the critical coupling strengths of the Hopf bifurcations depend additionally on \( \sigma \).

C. Phase diagrams

The phase diagram and frequencies of the unstable oscillatory modes of the two-delay system are theoretically deter-

![FIG. 11. Phase diagram of the globally coupled two-delay network determined using the dichotomous model (solid lines) and numerical simulations of the Langevin model (markers). The phase diagram is show for different \( \sigma = |\tau_1 - \tau_2|/2 \). The mean time delay is \( \tau = 100 \).](https://example.com/fig11.png)

FIG. 11. Phase diagram of the globally coupled two-delay network determined using the dichotomous model (solid lines) and numerical simulations of the Langevin model (markers). The phase diagram is show for different \( \sigma = |\tau_1 - \tau_2|/2 \). The mean time delay is \( \tau = 100 \).

...mined using Eqs. (32)–(34) and compared with numerical findings resulting from simulations of the Langevin model (25). The phase diagram is shown in Fig. 11 for different \( \sigma \). The phase diagrams including higher-order solutions of Eqs. (33) and (34) are presented in Fig. 12. Also, the frequencies of the corresponding unstable modes (which are \( \sigma \) independent) are shown in this figure. The figures show that near the bifurcation points the predictions by the dichotomous theory are reasonably good for weak noise in the range \( 0.07 \leq D \leq 0.3 \).

Furthermore, we find that the first bifurcation of the trivial equilibrium at \( \epsilon > 0 \) is always a pitchfork bifurcation. The first bifurcation at \( \epsilon < 0 \) is a Hopf bifurcation, which for \( \sigma < 30 \) is determined by the primary solution of Eqs. (33) and (34), while for \( \sigma > 30 \), depending on the noise intensity, the first transition may also be determined by higher-order solutions associated with higher frequencies.

IV. MULTIPLE DELAYS

A. Langevin model

In this section we further generalize our delayed-feedback system by introducing multiple time delays and study the stability properties in dependence of the statistical moments of an arbitrary time delay distribution.

The general Langevin model with many time delays reads

\[
\dot{x}_i = x_i - x_i^3 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j(t - \tau_j) + \sqrt{2D} \xi(t).
\] (37)

Such general models in which the time delays depend on both the “transmitting” and “receiving” elements cannot directly be described in terms of a mean-field theory. However, the system becomes mathematically tractable if we assume that the time delays only depend on the transmitting elements \( j \):

\[
\dot{x}_i = x_i - x_i^3 + \frac{\beta}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j(t - \tau_j) + \sqrt{2D} \xi(t).
\] (38)
In order to check if such a simplification is justified, numerical simulations of models (37) and (38) are carried out and compared. In these simulations the distribution of the time delays is Gaussian; i.e., it is fully determined by its mean \( \bar{\tau} \) and standard deviation \( \sigma \). Figure 13, comparing the critical coupling strength of the Hopf bifurcation for different \( \sigma \), suggests that the above simplification is justified in order to study the stability properties of a bistable-element network with time delays.

This surprising result not only renders possible an analytical description of networks with distributed delays but also implies that the number of operations, which have to be carried out to study such systems numerically, can be reduced from \( \mathcal{O}(N^2) \) to \( \mathcal{O}(N) \).

**B. Dichotomous theory**

Let us now develop the dichotomous theory for the globally coupled bistable-element network with distributed delays.

For that purpose we coarse-grain system (38). The coarse graining is accomplished as follows: The range of possible time delays is divided up in \( M \) intervals \( I_k \). The size of the intervals \( \Delta_k \) is chosen so that the number of bistable oscillators associated with a delay, fitting in a particular interval, is for each interval the same \( m = N/M \). In this way oscillator groups are formed whose mean field can be expressed as

\[
\Omega_k(t) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\tau_j \in I_k} x_j(t),
\]

where \( I_k = [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}] \), \( \tau_k = \frac{j-1}{M} \Delta_t \), and \( j=1 \ldots N \).

Assuming that \( \Delta_k \ll \bar{\tau}/\sigma \), where \( \bar{\tau} \) and \( \sigma \) are the mean and standard deviations of the time delay distribution, Eq. (38) can then be approximated by

\[
x_i = x_i - x_i^3 + \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \Omega_k(t - \tau_j) + \sqrt{2D}\xi(t).
\]

FIG. 12. Upper panel and two lower left panels: phase diagrams of our globally coupled two-delay network with \( \bar{\tau}=100 \) and \( \sigma = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 \). The green line depicts the critical coupling of the pitchfork bifurcation, and the other lines depict those of the primary Hopf bifurcation as well as some higher-order solutions (i.e., solutions 1–15) of Eqs. (33) and (34). The markers depict the first bifurcation at \( \varepsilon < 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) resulting from numerical simulations of the Langevin model (in these simulations, starting with \( \varepsilon = 0 \), the coupling strength is increased until a bifurcation occurs). Matching colors of markers and lines mean that the bifurcation type and associated frequency are in agreement. Lower right panel: the frequencies of the corresponding unstable modes. They do not depend on \( \sigma \), but slightly vary with the noise strength \( D \).

FIG. 13. The critical coupling of the Hopf bifurcation as a function of the noise strength \( D \) for different \( \sigma \) of the Gaussian time delay distribution with \( \bar{\tau}=100 \). The markers and solid lines depict the critical couplings resulting from models (37) and (38), respectively.
The master equations expressing the dynamics of system (40) in terms of occupation probabilities read

\[
\dot{n}_{1,k} = -p_{12}n_{1,k} + p_{21}n_{2,k},
\]

\[
\dot{n}_{2,k} = p_{12}n_{1,k} - p_{21}n_{2,k}.
\]

Here the hopping probabilities are given by

\[
p_{12,21} = \frac{\sqrt{2 + 3\alpha_3}}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1 + 4\alpha_3}{4D}\right),
\]

where \(\alpha_3 = (e/M)\sum_{k=1}^{M} \Omega_k(t - \tau_k)\).

For large oscillator groups \((m \rightarrow \infty)\), \(\Omega_k = n_{1,k}\delta_1 + n_{2,k}\delta_2 = n_{2,k} - n_{1,k}\) holds. With this and the probability conservation \(n_{1,k} + n_{2,k} = 1\) we can find the following set of equations:

\[
\dot{\Omega}_k(t) = p_{12} - p_{21} - (p_{21} + p_{12})\Omega_k(t).
\]

The Jacobian matrix of this system is given through

\[
J = c \begin{pmatrix}
g_1 + d & g_2 & \cdots & g_M \\
g_1 & g_2 + d & \cdots & g_M \\
g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_M + d
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(c = -\sqrt{2}/\pi \exp(-1/4D)/(4M\pi D)\), \(g_k = e(3D - 4)\exp(-\lambda\tau_k)\), and \(d = 4MD\). With this Jacobian the characteristic equation, determining the stability of the trivial equilibrium \(X = 0\), becomes

\[
(d\lambda - \lambda)^{M-1}\left(c\left(d + \sum_{k=1}^{M} g_k\right) - \lambda\right) = 0.
\]

Setting \(\lambda = 0\) and solving Eq. (46) for \(e\) yields the critical coupling for the pitchfork instability:

\[
e_{\text{c}} = \frac{4D}{4 - 3D}.
\]

It is time delay independent and thus identical with to found in previous sections of this paper.

The properties of the Hopf bifurcation (i.e., the frequencies of the unstable modes \(\omega\) and the critical coupling \(e_{\text{osc}}\)) can be found by substituting \(\lambda = \mu + i\omega\) into Eq. (46), separating real and imaginary parts, and setting \(\mu = 0\). This yields

\[
\omega\tau = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \exp(-1/4D)\frac{I_s}{I_c},
\]

where

\[
I_s = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sin \omega\tau_k, \quad I_c = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \cos \omega\tau_k.
\]

For large systems \(N \rightarrow \infty\), the number of groups \(M \rightarrow \infty\) and thus

\[
I_s = \int_0^\infty P(\tau)\sin \omega\tau d\tau, \quad I_c = \int_0^\infty P(\tau)\cos \omega\tau d\tau,
\]

where \(P(\tau)\) is the time delay distribution function.

We can express the time delay distribution function in terms of cumulants \(K_\eta\) and solve the integrals in Eqs. (50):

\[
I_s = \sin(g_1)\exp(g_2), \quad I_c = \cos(g_1)\exp(g_2),
\]

where

\[

\]

\[
I_s = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(i\omega)^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!}K_{2m+1},
\]

\[
I_c = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(i\omega)^{2m}}{(2m)!}K_{2m}.
\]

Consequently,

\[
\frac{I_s}{I_c} = \tan(g_1).
\]

Since for symmetric distribution functions all odd cumulant moments except the first one \(K_1 = 0\) are zero, \(I_s/I_c = \tan \omega\tau\) holds. That is, in the case of a symmetric distribution of the time delays, the frequencies of the unstable modes in Eq. (48) depend only on the mean time delay.

Let us now determine the critical coupling of the Hopf bifurcation. For large time delays \(\tau \gg \tau_k\) the low-order solutions of the transcendental equation (48) yield frequencies \(\omega \approx 1\). Thus the real part of Eq. (46) can be linearized near \(\omega = 0\) and the critical coupling of the Hopf bifurcation becomes

\[
e_{\text{osc}} = \frac{4D\pi\omega}{(3D - 4)}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sqrt{2} \exp(-1/4D)I_s - \frac{1}{N}\pi ol_c\right).
\]

Then, for large systems \(N \rightarrow \infty\) the critical coupling is

\[
e_{\text{osc}} = \frac{4D}{(4 - 3D)}I_c,
\]

with \(I_s = 3\sin(\omega\tau)\sin(5\omega\tau/3)/5\omega\tau\) and \(I_c = \cos(\omega\tau)\exp(-1/4D)\tau^2/2\) for uniform and Gaussian distributions, respectively.

C. Phase diagrams

Equations (47), (48), and (56) are used to determine the phase diagram and frequencies of the unstable oscillatory modes \(f = \omega/(2\pi)\) of a bistable-element network with uniformly distributed time delays. The theoretical predictions are compared with numerical simulations of the Langevin model (37). The number of bistable elements in these simulations is \(N = 300\). The results are shown in Figs. 14 and 15.

Again, we find that near the transition points and for weak noise intensities the predictions of the dichotomous theory are reasonably good. Consequently, the Langevin models

\footnote{This should not be confused with uniform time delays, which means that the delay for each coupling is the same.}
and (38) are in this regime equivalent as regards the dynamical properties of the mean field.

Equations (48) and (56) have a multiplicity of solutions meaning that multistability is also present in our system in the limit of continuously distributed delays. The bifurcation diagrams including the higher-order solutions are shown in Fig. 16. The figure shows that unlike the two-delay system, the first transition at $\varepsilon<0$ is always determined by the primary solution associated with the frequency $f<0.5\tau$.

The comparison of the phase diagrams for delay distribution functions of different widths shows that the regions of oscillatory states in the parameter space are reduced with increasing $\sigma$. This trend was already apparent in the two-delay system, although less pronounced. These findings suggest that nonuniformity of the time delays inhibits the occurrence of Hopf bifurcations and consequently increases the stability of the trivial equilibrium.

Eventually, we like to mention that the coherence resonance phenomenon discussed in Sec. II E is also present in systems with multiple delays in the oscillatory domain of the phase diagram.

V. NONUNIFORM COUPLING

A. Langevin model

The collective dynamics of the bistable-element networks described above is restricted to periodic oscillations and stationary states. In this section we want to check whether the complexity of the dynamics is increased if instead of the uniform coupling, nonuniform couplings are applied. To this end, we extend the two-time-delay model (25) by introducing two different coupling strengths. The Langevin equations of the new model read

$$\dot{x}_i = x_i - x_i^3 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} X_i(t - \tau_1) + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} X_j(t - \tau_2) + \sqrt{2D} \xi_i(t),$$

$$\dot{x}_j = x_j - x_j^3 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} X_i(t - \tau_1) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} X_j(t - \tau_2) + \sqrt{2D} \xi_j(t),$$

where $i=1, \ldots, N/2$ and $j=N/2+1, \ldots, N$. The elements $x_i$ and $x_j$ belong to a group of bistable oscillators which are associated with time delays $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, respectively. It is assumed that the two groups are of equal size. The above set of equations describes a system in which each element couples to all the elements belonging to the same group with a coupling strength $\varepsilon_1$ and to all the elements of the other group with $\varepsilon_2$; that is, the two coupling parameters indicate the strength of the intragroup coupling ($\varepsilon_1$) and intergroup coupling ($\varepsilon_2$), respectively.

FIG. 14. Phase diagram of the globally coupled bistable-element network with uniformly distributed time delays derived from the theoretical model (solid lines) and numerical simulations of the Langevin model (markers). The phase diagram is shown for different standard deviations $\sigma$ of the delay distribution function. The mean time delay is $\tau=100$.

FIG. 15. The frequencies of the unstable modes at the bifurcation points resulting from the Langevin model (markers) and the dichotomous model (solid line), which are [see Eq. (48)] independent of $\sigma$. For uniform and Gaussian distributions the frequencies depend only on the mean time delay [see Eqs. (48) and (54)].

FIG. 16. Same as in Fig. 12 but this time for networks with uniformly distributed time delays with $\tau=100$ and $\sigma=0, 10, 40$. 
B. Dichotomous model

We apply the dichotomous theory to system (57) and proceed in a manner analogous to the previous sections.

The evolution of the mean field of each group of oscillators is described by

$$\dot{X}_{1,2}(t) = p_{12,21}^{1/2} - p_{21,12}^{1/2} - (p_{12,21}^{1/2} + p_{21,12}^{1/2})X_{1,2}(t). \quad (58)$$

Here, the hopping probabilities are

$$p_{12,21}^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2 + 3\alpha_1}}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1 + 4\alpha_1}{4D}\right), \quad (59)$$

$$p_{21,12}^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2 + 3\alpha_3}}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1 + 4\alpha_3}{4D}\right), \quad (60)$$

where

$$\alpha_{1,3} = \left[\alpha_1 X_{1,2}(t - \tau_1) + \alpha_2 X_{2,1}(t - \tau_2)\right]/2. \quad (61)$$

Next a linear stability analysis is carried out. The linearization of Eq. (58) about the trivial equilibrium yields the Jacobian

$$J = -c\left(\begin{array}{cc}
[3D - 4]e_1e^{-\lambda\tau_1} + d & [3D - 4]e_2e^{-\lambda\tau_2} \\
[3D - 4]e_2e^{-\lambda\tau_1} & [3D - 4]e_1e^{-\lambda\tau_2} + d
\end{array}\right), \quad (62)$$

where $c$ and $d$ are the same as in Eq. (31).

Substituting the trace $S$ and determinant $\Delta$ of the Jacobian matrix (62) into the characteristic equation

$$\lambda = \frac{S \pm \sqrt{S^2 - 4\Delta}}{2} \quad (63)$$

and keeping the intragroup coupling strength $e_1$ fixed yields the critical coupling for the pitchfork bifurcation, which can occur for positive and negative intergroup feedbacks:

$$e_2^{\text{crit}} = \pm \left(\sqrt{\lambda_1} + \frac{8D}{3D - 4}\right). \quad (64)$$

In order to find the critical values for the Hopf bifurcation $e_2^{\text{osc}}$, we substitute $\lambda = \mu + i\omega$ into the characteristic equation and set $\mu = 0$. Then, the separation of real and imaginary parts yields the two equations $f_i(\omega, e_2) = 0$ and $f_i(\omega, e_2) = 0$, where

$$f_i(\omega, e_2) = E_i e_1 J_i \omega + \frac{E_i^2}{4}(e_1^2 - e_2^2)\cos(2\omega\tau) + E_2 e_1 J_c \omega^2 + \frac{8}{\pi} \exp(-1/2D) - 4\omega^2, \quad (65)$$

$$f_i(\omega, e_2) = E_i e_1 J_i \omega + \frac{E_i^2}{4}(e_1^2 - e_2^2)\sin(2\omega\tau) + E_2 e_1 J_c \omega + \frac{8\sqrt{2}\omega}{\pi} \exp(-1/4D). \quad (66)$$

Here, $E_i = \sqrt{2(3D - 4)\exp(-1/4D)/\pi D}$ and $E_2 = E_1 \sqrt{2} \times \exp(-1/4D)/\pi$. The terms $J_i$ and $J_c$ are given by Eqs. (35) and (36), respectively.

For finite $\tau$ and $e_2$, the above set of equations has a finite number of roots $(e_2^{\text{osc}}, \omega)$, which can be found numerically.
C. Phase diagram

In order to explore the dynamics of the system with two coupling strengths we carry out numerical simulations of the Langevin model (57) and compare the results with the theoretical predictions derived in the previous section. In these simulations the strength of the intragroup coupling \( \epsilon_1 \) and noise \( D \) are chosen so that in the absence of intergroup couplings \( \epsilon_2=0 \) the mean fields of the two oscillator groups \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) oscillate independently with frequencies \( f_1 \approx 1/2\tau_1 \) and \( f_2 \approx 1/2\tau_2 \), respectively (cf. Sec. II C). We may then expect that for \( |\epsilon_2| \) > 0 the system reveals dynamical properties reminiscent of those of two coupled, limit-cycle oscillators, such as chaotic behavior [41-43] and the amplitude death phenomenon [8,23,44]. Figure 17 shows time delay representations of the time series of \( X_1(t) \) for intergroup couplings of different strength \( \epsilon_2 \). In certain regions the system indeed shows the amplitude death phenomenon and in the range \( 0 < |\epsilon| < \epsilon_{\text{chaos}} \) irregular motions are observed. Numerical evidence suggests that these motions are chaotic. Indeed, the time series analysis yields broadband power spectra and positive maximum Lyapunov exponents. The determination of the Lyapunov exponents is below discussed in greater detail.

The comparison of the phase portraits in Fig. 17 shows slight deviations between theoretical predictions and the Langevin dynamics (e.g., for \( \epsilon_2=-0.1 \)). These deviations stem from the elimination of the noise fluctuations in the dichotomous model and different phase shifts between the two oscillator groups \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \). However, the predictive power of our model is confirmed in Fig. 18, where the theoretical peak power \( P_{\text{peak}} \) and the corresponding period \( T_{\text{peak}} \) in dependence of the coupling strength \( \epsilon_1 \) are compared with those resulting from Langevin simulations.

![Image](image1.png)

**FIG. 18.** The peak power \( P_{\text{peak}} \) (upper row) and the corresponding period \( T_{\text{peak}} \) (lower row) of the two oscillator groups \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) resulting from simulations of the theoretical mean-field model (58) and the Langevin model (57), respectively. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 17.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The dynamics of networks of noisy bistable elements with time-delayed couplings was studied analytically and numeri-
cally. Depending on the noise level, the systems undergo ordering transitions and demonstrate multistability; that is, for a strong enough positive feedback the systems adopt a nonzero stationary mean-field state, and a variety of stable oscillatory mean-field states are accessible for a positive as well as negative feedback. The coherence of the oscillatory states is maximal for a certain noise level; i.e., the systems demonstrate the coherence resonance phenomenon.

For symmetric time delay distributions the frequencies of the oscillations depend only on the mean time delay. However, the critical couplings of the corresponding Hopf bifurcations depend also on the higher-order cumulants of the time delay distributions. Indeed, our findings suggest that nonuniformity of the time delays inhibits the occurrence of the Hopf bifurcations and consequently increases the stability of the trivial equilibrium. This may be important for time delay systems such as neural networks and genetic regulatory networks, since the degree of time delay nonuniformity, which is often related to the diversity in the connectivity of the underlying network, affects the accessibility of the nontrivial dynamical states.

The dichotomous theory based on delay-differential master equations, which has been developed in this article, adequately describes the bifurcations of the trivial equilibrium in the limit of small noise and coupling strength. Furthermore, the theory allows for the application of a center manifold reduction and thus for a complete bifurcation analysis of the trivial equilibrium. Far away from the bifurcation points the mean-field properties are well described by a Gaussian approximation. However, a theoretical approach for the description of the dynamics in the regime of strong noise near the transition points is still lacking.

The collective dynamics of the networks of bistable elements with uniform coupling strength is restricted to periodic oscillations and stationary states. However, our model with nonuniform coupling strengths shows that for certain coupling distributions, the system behaves like a network of coupled limit cycle oscillators and, consequently, demonstrates in certain parameter-space areas the amplitude death phenomenon or exhibits a chaotic evolution of the mean field.

This paper discusses the dynamics of globally coupled systems with time delays. However, in many systems the connectivity is sparse. Since this is a particular case of systems with nonuniform coupling, we may expect that this endows the system with more complex dynamical properties. This issue should be addressed in future studies.
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